

AD-A283 058



①

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY




**SuperMariah:
A Similarity-based Method for Determining Wind,
Temperature, and Humidity Profile Structure in the
Surface Boundary Layer**

by Henry Rachele
Frank V. Hansen
Arnold Tunick
Battlefield Environment Directorate

Lisa Manguso
New Mexico State University

ARL-TR-264

June 1994



94-24207



4188

THIS QUALITY INSPECTED 1

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

94 8 01 012

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

Destruction Notice

When this document is no longer needed, destroy it by any method that will prevent disclosure of its contents or reconstruction of the document.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
<small>Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</small>				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE June 1994		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE SuperMariah: A Similarity-based Method for Determining Wind, Temperature, and Humidity Profile Structure in the Surface Boundary Layer			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Henry Rachele, Frank V. Hansen, and Arnold Tunick (ARL) Lisa Manguso (New Mexico State University)				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory Battlefield Environment Directorate Attn: AMSRL-BE-W White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico 88002-5501			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-264	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-264	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE A	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) SuperMariah is a new methodology for estimating the surface layer similarity scaling constants for wind, temperature, and specific humidity, using data from tower-borne sensors. It is conceptually based on the Dynamic Similarity of Flows Theory. We discuss the origin and logic of the Mariah approach (the predecessor of SuperMariah) and then present the extension of Mariah resulting in SuperMariah. This report reviews the complete set of model equations and presents several examples to illustrate comparisons of the Mariah, SuperMariah, and the more traditional O'KEYPS formulations.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS micrometeorology, Obukhov similarity, surface boundary layer, O'KEYPS function, temperature, specific humidity, wind speed			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 35	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	

Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Mariah	5
3. SuperMariah	9
4. The SuperStar Option	13
5. Discussion	17
6. Conclusions	25
References	27
Bibliography	29
<i>Appendix A. Obukhov Length for Stable Conditions</i>	31
Distribution	35

Figures

- SuperMariah calculated profiles compared to data from REBAL '92:
9 July 1992 1000 CST unstable flow. (a) Temperature where $\theta^* = -.4856$,
(b) Wind speed where $u^* = .544$, (c) Specific humidity
where $q^* = -5.335 * 10e-5$ 11
- SuperMariah calculated profiles compared to data from REBAL '92:
15 July 1992 2245 CST stable flow. (a) Temperature where $\theta^* = .0742$,
(b) Wind speed where $u^* = .184$, (c) Specific humidity
where $q^* = 1.474 * 10e-5$ 12

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/ _____	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

3. Comparison of SuperMariah and SuperStar profiles, Nebraska
 7 August 1956 1205 CST (Lettau, 1957). (a) Potential temperature
 where: SuperMariah $\theta^* = -.3989$, Superstar $\theta^* = -.4024$,
 (b) Wind speed where: SuperMariah $u^* = .4741$,
 SuperStar $u^* = .4578$, (c) Specific humidity
 where: SuperMariah $q^* = -1.185e-5$, SuperStar $q^* = -1.117e-5$ 16

Tables

1. Comparison of SuperMariah, Mariah, and O'KEYPS schemes
 using REBAL data for 9 July 1992 1000 CST unstable flow 19
2. Comparison of SuperMariah, Mariah, and O'KEYPS schemes
 using REBAL data for 9 July 1992 2245 CST stable flow 22

1. Introduction

Obukhov [1] determined that the surface boundary layer could be characterized by a unique scaling length, L , which would represent the surface layer's depth. Monin and Obukhov [2] generalized the Dynamic Similarity of Flows Theory with the introduction of the concept of the scaling ratio, z/L , and the log-linear wind and temperature profiles for a stable atmosphere. Panofsky et al. [3] partially extended the similarity approach to the unstable regime. However, Panofsky [4] provided the insight that led to the present day linear-quartic vertical shapes of the wind, temperature, and specific humidity profiles in the surface boundary layer.

The linear-quartic approach was dubbed the KEYPS* function using the initials of the participating inventors. Yaglom [5] changed this acronym to O'KEYPS, adding Obukhov's efforts of 1946 to the original five papers.

Obukhov did not originally consider the effects of water vapor on atmospheric stability or upon the structure of atmospheric turbulence. This omission was rectified by Busch. [6] Paulson [7] suggested a simple workable solution for establishing the diabatic influence functions but Nickerson, Smiley, [8] and Benoit [9] finalized this approach.

Rachele et al. [10] developed what is known as the Mariah equations whereby the Obukhov [1] length could be established from the measured gradients. This simplification eliminated the laborious, iterative process of calculating the diabatic influence functions to solve the wind, temperature, and specific humidity profile equations to obtain the scaling constants u^* , θ^* , and q^* that are necessary components of the surface energy balance equations. The widely used approach is to choose trial values of the parameters, then cycle through an iterative process until the solution converges. The intent of this report is to further extend the Mariah model to what is referred to as the SuperMariah approach.

*Kazanski and Monin (1956), Ellison (1957), Yamamoto (1959), Panofsky (1963), and Sellers (1962).

2. Mariah

The Mariah approach was based upon the premise that the similarity scaling constants for unstable flow can be written as

$$u^* = \frac{k \Delta v}{\phi_m \Delta \ln z} \quad (1)$$

$$\theta^* = \frac{k \Delta \theta}{\phi_h \Delta \ln z} \quad (2)$$

$$q^* = \frac{k \Delta q}{\phi_h \Delta \ln z} \quad (3)$$

where

- u^* = friction velocity
- k = Karman's constant
- v = horizontal wind speed
- z = height above surface
- θ^* = scaling temperature
- θ = mean potential temperature
- q^* = scaling humidity
- q = specific humidity
- ϕ_m = dimensionless wind shear
- ϕ_h = dimensionless lapse rate.

ϕ_m and ϕ_h are functionally defined later. ϕ_h appears in both equations (2) and (3) since the similarity theory assumes that heat and mass transfer are identical.

When evaluating the above similarity constants we [11] found, using the mean value theorem of calculus test, that the gradients for each layer are tangent to the indicated profile at the height

$$z^* = \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta \ln z} \quad (4)$$

instead of the geometric height which is generally assumed.

The scaling length L is given by

$$L = \frac{u^{*2} \theta_{v1}}{k g \theta_v^*} \quad (5)$$

where

θ_{v1} = virtual potential temperature at reference height

$$\theta_{v1} = \theta_1 (1.0 + 0.61 q) \quad (6a)$$

g = acceleration of gravity

θ_v^* = virtual scaling constant defined as

$$\theta_v^* = \theta^* + 0.61 \theta_1 q^* \quad (6b)$$

Substitution of equations (1), (2), (3), (6a), and (6b) into equation (5) yields

$$L = \frac{\theta_{v1} \phi_h (\Delta v)^2}{g \phi_m^2 (\Delta \theta + 0.61 \theta_1 \Delta q) \Delta \ln z} \quad (7)$$

as the expression for L . For unstable ($z/L < 0$) conditions,

$$\phi_m = \left(1 - 15 \frac{z^*}{L}\right)^{-1/4} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_h = \left(1 - 15 \frac{z^*}{L}\right)^{-1/2} \quad (8a,b)$$

and for stable ($z/L > 0$) conditions,

$$\phi_m = \phi_h = 1 + \beta \frac{z^*}{L} \quad (8c)$$

Since in the unstable regime $\phi_h = \phi_m^2$, equation (7) simplifies to

$$L = \frac{\theta_{vl} (\Delta v)^2}{g (\Delta \theta + 0.61 \theta_1 \Delta q) \Delta \ln z} \quad (9)$$

In stable flow conditions where $\phi_h = \phi_m = (1 + \beta z/L)$, equation (7) becomes

$$L = \frac{\theta_{vl} (\Delta v)^2}{g \phi_m (\Delta \theta + 0.61 \theta_1 \Delta q) \Delta \ln z} \quad (10)$$

Equation (10), after some additional manipulation (see appendix A), becomes

$$L = \frac{B^2}{60 z^* + 2 B} \quad (11)$$

where

$$B = \frac{2 \theta_{vl} (\Delta v)^2}{g (\Delta \theta + 0.61 \theta_1 \Delta q) \Delta \ln z} \quad (12)$$

Although simple and efficient, the Mariah parameters must be smoothed and averaged with height in order to invoke forced stationarity upon the vertical profiles in agreement with the tenets of similarity. This vertical smoothing and averaging is discussed in the next section.

3. SuperMariah

SuperMariah is an extension of Mariah. First, we determine if atmospheric conditions are stable, unstable, or neutral depending on whether the potential temperature with height is adiabatic, superadiabatic, or subadiabatic. After determining the stability condition, we then use the Mariah equation for computing L using equation (9) for the unstable case or equation (13) for stable conditions. Having L , we can compute u^* , θ^* , and q^* for different combinations of measured values of wind, temperature, and specific humidity from all tower or mast levels.

The sets of u^* , θ^* , and q^* from all these combinations are then averaged resulting in a single estimate of each similarity constant for the total profile height.

The average values of u^* , θ^* , and q^* are inserted into equation (4) to obtain a new value of L . This estimate of L is then used to compute new values of the scaling constants. This process is repeated until all constants converge, usually requiring 3 to 8 iterations based on the following:

1. The Obukhov length changes by less than 0.001 m from one iteration to the next. In rare cases, the calculated value of L decreases at each step and may become smaller than the convergence test, whereupon the results are deemed invalid.
2. An arbitrary number of steps has been completed with no convergence. In this case, results are also invalid.

Upon successful convergence, the similarity profiles for wind speed, temperature, and specific humidity are calculated from the scaling constants and Obukhov length obtained (figures 1 and 2). In the following equations, a subscript r indicates a value at the reference height while a subscript n indicates the value at height z_n .

For stable cases

$$v = v_r + \frac{u^*}{k} \gamma \quad (13)$$

$$\theta = \theta_r + \frac{\theta^*}{k} \gamma \quad (14)$$

$$q = q_r + \frac{q^*}{k} \gamma \quad (15)$$

where

$$\gamma = \ln\left(\frac{z_n}{z_r}\right) + 5.0 \frac{z_n - z_r}{L} \quad (16)$$

For unstable cases

$$v = v_r + \frac{u^*}{k} \left[\ln\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right) + 2 \tan^{-1}(x) \right] \quad (17)$$

$$\theta = \theta_v + \frac{\theta^*}{k} \ln\left(\frac{y-1}{y+1}\right) \quad (18)$$

$$q = q_r + \frac{q^*}{k} \ln\left(\frac{y-1}{y+1}\right) \quad (19)$$

where

$$x = \left(1 - 15 \frac{z_n}{L} \right)^{1/4} \quad (20)$$

$$y = \left(1 - 15 \frac{z_n}{L} \right)^{1/2} \quad (21)$$




Figure 1. SuperMariah calculated profiles compared to data from REBAL '92: 9 July 1992 1000 CST unstable flow. (a) Temperature where $\theta^* = -.4856$, (b) Wind speed where $u^* = .544$, (c) Specific humidity where $q^* = -5.335 \times 10^{-5}$.




Figure 2. SuperMariah calculated profiles compared to data from REBAL' 92: 15 July 1992 2245 CST stable flow. (a) Temperature where $\theta^* = .0742$, (b) Wind speed where $u^* = .184$, (c) Specific humidity where $q^* = 1.474 \times 10^{-5}$.

4. The SuperStar Option

Experience has shown that the vertical profile of wind, potential temperature, and specific humidity obtained by the SuperMariah procedure may be rotated slightly to the left or the right of the measured data points. These profiles can be adjusted using equations tailored from a paper by Rachele and Tunick. [12] The approach requires that adjustment increments Δv , $\Delta \theta$, and Δq be estimated, usually by taking the difference between the highest tower level data values (or the tower data level the user has the most confidence in) and the corresponding calculated value at that level, z_n . These differences are then used in adjustment matrices resulting in modifications to scaling parameters for wind, potential temperature, and specific humidity, i.e., Δu^* , $\Delta \theta^*$, and Δq^* . The procedure for one case is demonstrated in figure 3.

The correction matrix for stable conditions is

$$\begin{vmatrix} A + \frac{2b_v L}{u^*} & -\frac{b_v L}{\theta_v^*} & -\frac{.61 \theta_r b_v L}{\theta_v^*} \\ \frac{2b_t L}{u^*} & A - \frac{b_t L}{\theta_v^*} & -\frac{.61 b_t L \theta_r}{\theta_v^*} \\ \frac{2b_q L}{u^*} & -\frac{b_q L}{\theta_v^*} & A - \frac{.61 b_q L \theta_r}{\theta_v^*} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Delta u^* \\ \Delta \theta^* \\ \Delta q^* \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \Delta v \\ \Delta \theta \\ \Delta q \end{vmatrix} \quad (22)$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{k} \left[\ln \left(\frac{z_n}{z_r} \right) + 5 \left(\frac{z_n - z_r}{L} \right) \right] \quad (23)$$

$$b_v = -5u^* \left(\frac{z_n - z_r}{kL^2} \right) \quad (24)$$

$$b_i = -5\theta^* \left(\frac{z_n - z_r}{kL^2} \right) \quad (25)$$

$$b_q = -5q^* \left(\frac{z_n - z_r}{kL^2} \right). \quad (26)$$

The correction matrix for unstable conditions is

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{v_n - v_r}{u^*} & 0.0 & 0.0 & C_{14} \\ 0.0 & \frac{\theta_n - \theta_r}{\theta^*} & 0.0 & C_{24} \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & \frac{q_n - q_r}{q^*} & C_{34} \\ \frac{2L}{u^*} & -\frac{L}{\theta_v^*} & 0.0 & 1.0 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} du^* \\ dt^* \\ dq^* \\ dL \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \Delta v \\ \Delta t \\ \Delta q \\ 0.0 \end{vmatrix} \quad (27)$$

where

$$C_{14} = \frac{15u^*}{kL^2} \left(\frac{z_n}{x_2(x_2^4 - 1)} - \frac{z_r}{x_1(x_1^4 - 1)} \right) \quad (28)$$

$$C_{24} = \frac{15\theta^*}{kL^2} \left(\frac{z_n}{y_2(y_2^2 - 1)} - \frac{z_r}{y_1(y_1^2 - 1)} \right) \quad (29)$$

$$C_{34} = \frac{15q^*}{kL^2} \left(\frac{z_n}{y_2(y_2^2 - 1)} - \frac{z_r}{y_1(y_1^2 - 1)} \right) \quad (30)$$

$$x_1 = \left(1 - \frac{15z_r}{L} \right)^{1/4} \quad (31)$$

$$x_2 = \left(1 - \frac{15z_n}{L} \right)^{1/4} \quad (32)$$

$$y_1 = \left(1 - \frac{15z_r}{L} \right)^{1/2} \quad (33)$$

$$y_2 = \left(1 - \frac{15z_n}{L} \right)^{1/2} \quad (34)$$




Figure 3. Comparison of SuperMariah and SuperStar profiles, Nebraska 7 August 1956 1205 CST (Lettau, 1957). (a) Potential temperature where SuperMariah $\theta^* = -.3989$, SuperStar $\theta^* = -.4024$, (b) Wind speed where SuperMariah $u^* = .4741$, SuperStar $u^* = .4578$, (c) Specific humidity where SuperMariah $q^* = -1.185\text{e-}5$, SuperStar $q^* = -1.117\text{e-}5$.

5. Discussion

The SuperMariah solution, although iterative, is simpler to execute than the O'KEYPS plus Benoit [9] approach or the trial value iteration scheme using the O'KEYPS model. It also yields superior results, particularly if large amounts of data are being processed. Tunick et al. [13] utilized SuperMariah to process the REBAL '92 data sets (see Tunick et al. [14]) with outstanding results.

The actual algorithm is quite simple and is fast running. A comparative example for unstable flow of Mariah, SuperMariah and the O'KEYPS approach is given in table 1. It must be remembered that the O'KEYPS does not consider the effects of water vapor upon atmospheric stability and is based on the premise that $z/L = R$ in an unstable atmosphere. Thus, the gradient Richardson [15] numbers were first calculated. In order to provide the best estimate for the Monin-Obukhov [2] scaling ratio, the concept of the approximation of the height derivative of R was invoked. Lettau [16] was the first to suggest this approach, which may be written as

$$L^{-1} = \frac{\sum (R_i) z_i}{\sum z_i} ; \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (35)$$

Both the Mariah and O'KEYPS data were subjected to the forced stationarity function of equation (35).

A similar comparison for the stable regime was made and is shown in table 2. For the log-linear O'KEYPS solution, it was assumed that

$$\phi_m = 1 + 15 R_i \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{z}{L} = R_i \phi_m = R_i (1 + 15 R_i) \quad (37)$$

with

$$L^{-1} = \frac{\sum \frac{z}{L}}{\sum z} \quad (38)$$

all based upon results obtained by Hansen. [17]

The data used to examine the unstable case included the REBAL sample for 1000 CST, 9 July 1992. All the calculated parameters are in agreement with the exception of the specific humidity scaling parameter, q^* , that shows up as a variable in the Mariah and O'KEYPS solutions. This departure from the norm (i.e., a constant q^* with height) is due to the REBAL experiment being conducted over bare soil. The evaporation rate over bare soil is radically different than what would be found over a vegetated surface. As a consequence, the specific humidity profiles and vertical gradients were not entirely in equilibrium with the surface.

An examination of the nocturnal data of table 2 for 2245 CST, 15 July 1992, indicates nonequilibrium specific humidity profiles and gradients. The specific humidity profile had inverted, a condition necessary for dew fall, but neither the relative humidity nor the gradients were sufficient to cause dew.

**Table 1. Comparison of SuperMariah, Mariah, and O'KEYS schemes using REBAL data for 9 July 1992
1000 CST unstable flow**

raw data				SuperMariah data							SuperMariah parameters				
z ^a	v ^b	temp ^c	q ^d	v	temp	q	L ^e	z/L	u ^f	θ^g	q ^h				
0.5	6.15	27.66	1.181	6.30	27.65	1.19	-46.12	-.0108	.544	-.4856	-5.335				
1.0	7.14	26.87	1.176	7.19	26.88	1.18	-46.12	-.0217	.544	-.4856	-5.335				
2.0	8.05	26.20	1.172	8.05	26.18	1.172	-46.12	-.0434	.544	-.4856	-5.335				
4.0	8.72	25.62	1.16	8.85	25.55	1.165	-46.12	-.867	.544	-.4856	-5.335				
8.0	9.43	25.04	1.154	9.58	25.02	1.159	-46.12	-.1735	.544	-.4856	-5.335				

^aheight, z, in m

^bwind speed, v, in m/s

^ctemperature in °C

^dspecific humidity, q, in (g/g) x 10³

^eObukhov length, L, in m

^fu^{*} in m/s

^g θ^* in °C

^hq^{*} in (g/g) x 10³

Table 1. Comparison of SuperMariah, Mariah, and O'KEYS schemes using REBAL data for 9 July 1992
1000 CST unstable flow (continued)

z^a	Mariah parameters from raw data					from smoothed data				
	L^b	z/L	u^c	θ^d	q^e	L	z/L	u^c	θ^d	q^e
1.0	-54.31	-.0184	0.583	-0.471	-2.937	-44.64	-.0284	0.589	-0.482	-3.005
2.0	-44.33	-.0451	0.519	-0.456	-5.978	-44.64	-.419	0.519	-0.456	-5.972
4.0	-42.78	-.0994	0.536	-0.501	-8.191	-44.64	-.0897	0.528	-0.487	-7.949
average	-47.14		0.546	-0.476	-5.709	-44.64		0.545	-0.475	-5.642

^aheight, z , in m
^bObukhov length, L , in m
^c u^c in m/s
^d θ^d in $^{\circ}\text{C}$
^e q^e in $(\text{g/g}) \times 10^3$

**Table 1. Comparison of SuperMariah, Mariah, and O'KEYS schemes using REBAL data for 9 July 1992
1000 CST unstable flow (continued)**

z^a	O'KEYS parameters									
	from raw data					from smoothed data				
	L^b	z/L	u^c	θ^d	q^e	L	z/L	u^c	θ^d	q^e
1.0	-55.25	-.0181	.582	-.470	-2.932	-45.45	-.0220	.589	-.481	-2.998
2.0	-45.05	-.0444	.518	-.455	-5.959	-45.45	-.0440	.517	-.454	-5.948
4.0	-43.76	-.0914	.530	-.489	-7.993	-45.45	-.0897	.527	-.484	-7.904
average	-48.02		.543	-.471	-5.628	-45.45		.544	-.473	-5.617
a height, z , in m										
b Obukhov length, L , in m										
c u^c in m/s										
d θ^d in $^{\circ}\text{C}$										
e q^e in $(g/s) \times 10^3$										

Table 2. Comparison of SuperMariah, Mariah, and O'KEYS schemes using REBAL data for 15 July 1992
2245 CST stable flow

raw data			SuperMariah data				SuperMariah parameters				
z^a	v^b	temp ^c	q^d	v	temp	q	L^e	z/L	u^f	θ^g	q^h
0.5	2.19	21.54	1.246	2.04	21.48	1.2240	33.27	.0150	.184	.0742	1.474
1.0	2.40	21.61	1.244	2.40	21.61	1.2429	33.27	.0301	.184	.0742	1.474
2.0	2.78	21.74	1.246	2.78	21.74	1.2460	33.27	.0601	.184	.0742	1.474
4.0	3.25	21.94	1.258	3.24	21.89	1.2496	33.27	.1202	.184	.0742	1.474
8.0	4.04	22.21	1.258	3.83	22.07	1.2544	33.27	.2404	.184	.0742	1.474

^aheight, z , in m
^bwind speed, v , in m/s
^ctemperature in $^{\circ}\text{C}$
^dspecific humidity, q , in $(\text{g/g}) \times 10^2$
^eObukhov length, L , in m
^f u^* in m/s
^g θ^* in $^{\circ}\text{C}$
^h q^* in $(\text{g/g}) \times 10^3$

Table 2. Comparison of SuperMariah, Mariah, and O'KEYS schemes using REBAL data for 15 July 1992
2245 CST unstable flow (continued)

z^a	Mariah parameters from raw data					from smoothed data				
	L^b	z/L	u^c	θ^d	q^e	L	z/L	u^c	θ^d	q^e
1.0	16.96	.0590	.106	.0384	7.1628	26.25	.0381	.118	.0430	8.018
2.0	23.74	.0842	.137	.0577	2.2485	26.25	.0762	.141	.0597	2.327
4.0	32.36	.1236	.179	.0752	1.7069	26.25	.1525	.167	.0699	1.587
average	24.35		.141	.0571	3.7061	26.25		.142	.0575	3.977
^a height, z , in m										
^b Obukhov length, L , in m										
^c u^c in m/s										
^d θ^d in °C										
^e q^e in (g/g) x 10 ³										

O'KEYS parameters from raw data						from smoothed data				
z ^a	L ^b	z/L	u ^c	θ^d	q ^e	L	z/L	u ^c	θ^d	q ^e
1.0	24.69	.0405	.117	.0424	7.8999	29.72	.0336	.122	.0443	8.2491
2.0	25.87	.0773	.141	.0595	2.3167	29.72	.0673	.147	.0620	2.4142
4.0	33.98	.1177	.182	.0765	1.7354	29.72	.1346	.174	.0730	1.6576
average	28.18		.147	.0595	3.984	29.72		.148	.0598	4.1070

^aheight, z, in m
^bObukhov length, L, in m
^cu^c in m/s
^d θ^d in °C
^eq^e in (g/g) x 10³

6. Conclusions

The methods presented in this report are new, although based on traditional concepts of Dynamic Similarity. We have shown that similar results may be obtained by using the O'KEYPS, Mariah, or SuperMariah approaches. It is clear that the core of the SuperMariah methodology is based upon the computation of similarity scaling constants using the differences in the tower-based measurements of wind speed, temperature, and moisture (i.e., Mariah).

The advantages of employing the SuperMariah model are numerous. Primarily, the model executes quickly. Secondly, one has the capability of using as many or as few levels of tower data as are available. Additionally, SuperMariah outputs averaged values for the similarity scaling constants which represent many subintervals of tower measurements. Finally, the principle similarity premise of stationarity is preserved. This is too often neglected in micrometeorological tower data analyses schemes.

References

1. Obukhov, A. M., Turbulence in an Atmosphere of Non-Homogeneous Temperature. *Trans. Inst. Theor. Geophy.*, USSR, 1:95-115, 1946.
2. Monin, A. S., and A. M. Obukhov, Basic Regularity in Turbulent Mixing in the Surface Layer of the Atmosphere. *Trans. Geophys. Inst. (Trudy) Acad. Sci.*, USSR, 24:163-187, 1954.
3. Panofsky, H. A., A. K. Blackador and G. E. McVehil, The Diabatic Wind Profile. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 86:390-398, 1960.
4. Panofsky, H. A., Determination of Stress from Wind and Temperature Measurements. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 89:85, 1963.
5. Yaglom, A. M., Comments on Wind and Temperature Flux-Profile Relationships. *Boundary Layer Meteorology.*, 11:89-102, 1977.
6. Busch, N. E., On the Mechanics of Atmospheric Turbulence. In *Workshop on Micrometeorology*, D. A. Haugen, ed., American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA, 1973.
7. Paulson, C. A., The Mathematical Representation of Wind Speed and Temperature Profiles in the Unstable Atmospheric Surface Layer. *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 9:57-61, 1970.
8. Nickerson, E. C., and V. E. Smiley, Surface Layer and Energy Budget Parameterizations for Mesoscale Models. *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 14:297-300, 1975.
9. Benoit, R., On the Integral of the Surface Layer Profile-Gradient Functions. *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 16:859-860, 1977.
10. Rachele, H., and A. Tunick, *The Sensitivity of the Receiver Coherence Diameter and Modulation Transfer Function to Variations of a Damp Unstable Atmosphere*. ASL-TR-0314, U.S. Army Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory, White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002, 1992.

11. Rachele, H., A. Tunick and F. V. Hansen, *A Method for Estimating Similarity Scaling and Obukhov Lengths from Discrete Vertical Profile Data*. ASL-TR-0303, U.S. Army Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory, White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002, 1991.
12. Rachele, H., A. Tunick and F. V. Hansen, The Zephyrous, Vaporious, Thermotics Connection. *Proc. 1992 Battlefield Atmospherics Conference*. Ft. Bliss, TX, 1-3 December, 1992.
13. Tunick, A., H. Rachele, F. V. Hansen, J. A. Howell, J. L. Steiner, A. D. Schneider and S. R. Evett, 1993a: REBAL '92 - A Cooperative Radiation and Energy Balance Field Study for Imagery and E. M. Propagation. (Submitted to *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*., 13 May 1993.)
14. Tunick, A., F. V. Hansen, H. Rachele, D. Sheets, L. Manguso, D. A. Dusek, K. S. Copeland, and S. R. Evett, 1993b: *REBAL '92 - Data Report: A Cooperative Radiation and Energy Balance Field Study*. (In preparation) U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Battlefield Environment Directorate, White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002.
15. Richardson, L. F., The Supply of Energy from and to Atmospheric Eddies. *Proc. Roy. Soc. (A)*:97:354-373, 1920.
16. Lettau, H. H., Computation of Richardson Numbers, Classification of Wind Profiles, and Determination of Roughness Parameters. *Exploring the Atmosphere's First Mile, Vol 1*. H. H. Lettau and B. Davidson, eds, Pergamon Press, New York, 1957.
17. Hansen, F. V., *The Critical Richardson Number*. ECOM-5829, U.S. Army Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory, White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5501, 1977.

Bibliography

Ellison, T. H., Turbulent Transport of Heat and Momentum from an Infinite Rough Plane. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 2:456, 1957.

Kazanski, A. B., and A. S. Monin, *Izv. Akad. Nauk., S.S.S.R. Ser. Geofiz., Ser. 1*, 79, 1956.

Sellers, W. D., A Simplified Derivation of the Diabatic Wind Profile. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 19:180-181, 1962.

Yamamoto, G., Theory of Turbulent Transfer in Non-Neutral Conditions. *J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan.*, 37:60-70, 1959.

Appendix A
Obukhov Length for Stable Conditions

The Obukhov length for stable conditions can be written as

$$L = \frac{\theta_{vj} (\Delta v)^2}{g \phi_m (\Delta \theta + 0.61 \theta_1 \Delta q) \Delta \ln z} \quad (\text{A-1})$$

or

$$L \phi_m = \frac{\theta_{vj} (\Delta v)^2}{g (\Delta \theta + 0.61 \theta_1 \Delta q) \Delta \ln z} \quad (\text{A-2})$$

From Hansen*

$$\Phi_m = 1 + \beta \frac{z^*}{L} \quad (\text{A-3})$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{15}{\phi_m} \quad (\text{A-4})$$

Substituting equation (A-4) into (A-3) and solving for ϕ_m gives

$$\phi_m = \frac{1 \pm \left[1 + 60 \frac{z^*}{L} \right]^{1/2}}{2} \quad (\text{A-5})$$

*Hansen, F. V., 1977: *The Critical Richardson Number*. ECOM-5829, U.S. Army Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory, White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5501.

Substituting equation (A-5) into (A-2) results in

$$L \pm L(1 + 60 \frac{z^*}{L})^{1/2} = \frac{2 \theta_w (\Delta v)^2}{g (\Delta \theta + 0.61 \theta_1 \Delta q) \Delta \ln z} = B \quad (\text{A-6})$$

or

$$\pm L(1 + 60 \frac{z^*}{L})^{1/2} = B - L \quad (\text{A-7})$$

Squaring equation (A-7) and solving for L gives

$$L = \frac{B^2}{60 z^* + 2B} \quad (\text{A-8})$$

where

$$z^* = \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta \ln z} \quad (\text{A-9})$$

DISTRIBUTION

Copies

Commandant U.S. Army Chemical School ATTN: ATEN-CM-CC (Mr. Barnes) Fort McClellan, AL 36205-5020	1
NASA Marshal Space Flight Center Deputy Director Space Science Laboratory Atmospheric Sciences Division ATTN: E501 (Dr. Fichtl) Huntsville, AL 35802	1
NASA/Marshall Space Flight Center Atmospheric Sciences Division ATTN: Code ED-41 Huntsville, AL 35812	1
Deputy Commander U.S. Army Strategic Defense Command ATTN: CSSD-SL-L (Dr. Lilly) P.O. Box 1500 Huntsville, AL 35807-3801	1
Deputy Commander U.S. Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-AC-AD (Dr. Peterson) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5242	1
Commander U.S. Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-DE-SE (Mr. Lill, Jr.) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5245	1
Commander U.S. Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-AS-SS (Mr. Anderson) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5253	1
Commander U.S. Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-AS-SS (Mr. B. Williams) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5253	1
Commander U.S. Army Missile Command Redstone Scientific Information Center ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R/Documents Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5241	1

Commander U.S. Army Aviation Center ATTN: ATSQ-D-MA (Mr. Heath) Fort Rucker, AL 36362	1
Commander U.S. Army Intelligence Center and Fort Huachuca ATTN: ATSI-CDC-C (Mr. Colanto) Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613-7000	1
Northrup Corporation Electronics Systems Division ATTN: Dr. Tooley 2301 West 120th Street, Box 5032 Hawthorne, CA 90251-5032	1
Commander Pacific Missile Test Center Geophysics Division ATTN: Code 3250 (Mr. Battalino) Point Mugu, CA 93042-5000	1
Commander Code 3331 Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Dr. Shlanta China Lake, CA 93555	1
Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc. Kenneth R. Hardy ORG/91-01 B/255 3251 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1191	1
Commander Naval Ocean Systems Center ATTN: Code 54 (Dr. Richter) San Diego, CA 92152-5000	1
Meteorologist in Charge Kwajalein Missile Range P.O. Box 67 APO San Francisco, CA 96555	1
U.S. Department of Commerce Center Mountain Administration Support Center, Library, R-51 Technical Reports 325 S. Broadway Boulder, CO 80303	1

Dr. Hans J. Liebe NTIA/ITS S 3 325 S. Broadway Boulder, CO 80303	1
NCAR Library Serials National Center for Atmos Research P.O. Box 3000 Boulder, CO 80307-3000	1
Headquarters Department of the Army ATTN: DAMI-POI Washington, DC 20310-1067	1
Mil Asst for Env Sci Ofc of the Undersecretary of Defense for Rsch & Engr/R&AT/E&LS Pentagon - Room 3D129 Washington, DC 20301-3080	1
Headquarters Department of the Army DEAN-RMD/Dr. Gomez Washington, DC 20314	1
Director Division of Atmospheric Science National Science Foundation ATTN: Dr. Bierly 1800 G. Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20550	1
Commander Space & Naval Warfare System Command ATTN: PMW-145-1G Washington, DC 20362-5100	1
Director Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Code 4110 (Mr. Ruhnke) Washington, DC 20375-5000	1
Commandant U.S. Army Infantry ATTN: ATSH-CD-CS-OR (Dr. E. Dutoit) Fort Benning, GA 30905-5090	1
USAFETAC/DNE Scott AFB, IL 62225	1

Air Weather Service	
Technical Library - FL4414	1
Scott AFB, IL 62225-5458	
 USAFETAC/DME	
ATTN: Mr. Glauber	1
Scott AFB, IL 62225-5008	
 Headquarters	
AWS/DOO	1
Scott AFB, IL 62225-5008	
 Commander	
U.S. Army Combined Arms Combat	
ATTN: ATZL-CAW	1
Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027-5300	
 Commander	
U.S. Army Space Institute	
ATTN: ATZI-SI	1
Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027-5300	
 Commander	
U.S. Army Space Institute	
ATTN: ATZL-SI-D	1
Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027-7300	
 Commander	
Phillips Lab	
ATTN: PL/LYP (Mr. Chisholm)	1
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-5000	
 Director	
Atmospheric Sciences Division	
Geophysics Directorate	
Phillips Lab	
ATTN: Dr. McClatchey	1
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-5000	
 Raytheon Company	
Dr. Sonnenschein	
Equipment Division	
528 Boston Post Road	1
Sudbury, MA 01776	
Mail Stop 1K9	
 Director	
U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity	
ATTN: AMXSX-CR (Mr. Marchetti)	1
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5071	

Director U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: AMXSY-MP (Mr. Cohen) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5071	1
Director U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: AMXSY-AT (Mr. Campbell) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5071	1
Director U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: AMXSY-CS (Mr. Bradley) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5071	1
Director ARL Chemical Biology Nuclear Effects Division ATTN: AMSRL-SL-CO Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423	1
Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-D 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145	1
National Security Agency ATTN: W21 (Dr. Longbothum) 9800 Savage Road Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6000	1
Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-OP-SD-TP Technical Publishing 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145	1
Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-OP-CI-SD-TL 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145	1
Army Research laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-SS-SH (Dr. Sztankay) 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145	1
U.S. Army Space Technology and Research Office ATTN: Ms. Brathwaite 5321 Riggs Road Gaithersburg, MD 20882	1

OIC-NAVSWC Technical Library (Code E-232) Silver Springs, MD 20903-5000	1
Commander U.S. Army Research office ATTN: DRXRO-GS (Dr. Flood) P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27009	1
Dr. Jerry Davis North Carolina State University Department of Marine, Earth, and Atmospheric Sciences P.O. Box 8208 Raleigh, NC 27650-8208	1
Commander U.S. Army CECRL ATTN: CECRL-RG (Dr. Boyne) Hanover, NH 03755-1290	1
Commanding Officer U.S. Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-IMI-I, Bldg 59 Dover, NJ 07806-5000	1
Commander U.S. Army Satellite Comm Agency ATTN: DRCPM-SC-3 Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5303	1
Commander U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Center for EW/RSTA ATTN: AMSEL-EW-MD Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5303	1
Commander U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Center for EW/RSTA ATTN: AMSEL-EW-D Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5303	1
Commander U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Center for EW/RSTA ATTN: AMSEL-RD-EW-SP Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5206	1

Director U.S. Army TRADOC Analysis Center ATTN: ATRC-WSS-R White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5502	1
Director U.S. Army White Sands Missile Range Technical Library Branch ATTN: STEWS-IM-IT White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002	3
Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-BE (Mr. Veazy) Battlefield Environment Directorate White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5501	1
Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-BE-A (Mr. Rubio) Battlefield Environment Directorate White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5501	1
Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-BE-M (Dr. Niles) Battlefield Environment Directorate White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5501	1
Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-BE-W (Dr. Seagraves) Battlefield Environment Directorate White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5501	1
Commander Department of the Air Force OL/A 2d Weather Squadron (MAC) Holloman AFB, NM 88330-5000	1
PL/WE Kirtland AFB, NM 87118-6008	1
USAF Rome Laboratory Technical Library, FL2810 Corridor W, STE 262, RL/SUL 26 Electronics Parkway, Bldg 106 Griffiss AFB, NY 13441-4514	1
AFMC/DOW Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 03340-5000	1
Commandant U.S. Army Field Artillery School ATTN: ATSF-TSM-TA (Mr. Taylor) Fort Sill, OK 73503-5600	1

Commander U.S. Army Field Artillery School ATTN: ATSF-F-FD (Mr. Gullion) Fort Sill, OK 73503-5600	1
Commander Naval Air Development Center ATTN: Al Salik (Code 5012) Warminster, PA 18974	1
Commander U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground ATTN: STEDP-MT-M (Mr. Bowers) Dugway, UT 84022-5000	1
Commander U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground ATTN: STEDP-MT-DA-L Dugway, UT 84022-5000	1
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Foreign Science & Technology Center ATTN: CM 220 7th Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396	1
Naval Surface Weapons Center Code G63 Dahlgren, VA 22448-5000	1
Commander U.S. Army OEC ATTN: CSTE-EFS Park Center IV 4501 Ford Ave Alexandria, VA 22302-1458	1
Commander and Director U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Engineer Topographics Laboratory ATTN: ETL-GS-LB Fort Belvoir, VA 22060	1
U.S. Army Topo Engineering Center ATTN: CETEC-ZC Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5546	1
Commander USATRADO ATTN: ATCD-FA Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5170	1

Defense Technical Information Center	
ATTN: DTIC-OCF	2
Cameron Station	
Alexandria, VA 22314-6145	
TAC/DOWP	1
Langley AFB, VA 23665-5524	
Commander	
Logistics Center	
ATTN: ATCL-CE	1
Fort Lee, VA 23801-6000	
Science and Technology	
101 Research Drive	1
Hampton, VA 23666-1340	
Commander	
U.S. Army Nuclear and Chemical Agency	
ATTN: MONA-ZB, Bldg 2073	1
Springfield, VA 22150-3198	
Record Copy	3
Total	100